Via Electronic and Certified Mail

February 28, 2017

James Bass, Executive Director
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th St.
Austin, TX 78701
james.bass@TxDOT.gov

Terry P. McCoy, P.E., District Engineer
Austin District
Texas Department of Transportation
7901 N. IH-35
Austin, TX 78753
Terry.McCoy@txdot.gov

David Bernhardt, Acting Secretary of the Interior
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20240
exsec@ios.doi.gov

Margaret Everson, Principal Deputy Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1849 C Street, NW
Washington, DC 20240
Margaret_Everson@fws.gov

RE: Notice of Violations of the Endangered Species Act and Its Implementing Regulations for Failure to Reinitiate Consultation on Impacts to Austin Blind Salamander and Barton Springs Salamander for Texas Department of Transportation’s MoPac (State Loop 1) Intersections, Austin District Project

On behalf of the Center for Biological Diversity (the “Center”) and Save Our Springs Alliance (“SOS”) we hereby provide notice, pursuant to Section 11(g) of the Endangered Species Act (“ESA”), 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g), that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (“Service”) and Texas Department of Transportation (“TxDOT”) are in violation of Sections 7 of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1536, and the ESA’s consultation regulations, 50 C.F.R. Part 402.¹ We also provide notice that TxDOT is in violation of Section 9 of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1538.

¹ These violations of the ESA are also arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, and not in accordance with law, in violation of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).
These violations occurred in connection with the construction of the MoPac Intersections Project ("Intersections Project" or "the Project"), a federally funded highway project for which TxDOT is the lead agency. This highway project consists of grade separating two intersections and widening approximately two miles of MoPac Expressway (State Loop 1) in Travis County, Texas.

The Center for Biological Diversity is a national, nonprofit conservation organization with more than 1.4 million members and online activists dedicated to the protection of endangered species and wild places. The Center and its members are concerned with the conservation of imperiled species and the effective implementation of the ESA.

Save Our Springs Alliance is an environmental nonprofit using education, advocacy, and litigation to protect the Edwards Aquifer, its springs and contributing streams, and the natural and cultural heritage of the Hill Country, with special emphasis on Barton Springs. Since 1992, SOS Alliance has combined science and economics with legal expertise to advocate for preserving Barton Springs and managing Austin’s urban development.

**STATUTORY BACKGROUND**

The reinitiation of formal consultation is required and must be requested by TxDOT or the Service if: (1) the amount or extent of taking specified in the incidental take statement is exceeded; (2) new information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered; (3) the action is modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species or critical habitat that was not considered in the biological opinion; or (4) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the identified action. 50 C.F.R. § 402.16. This requirement applies equally to informal consultation. See Conservation Congress v. Finley, 774 F.3d 611, 618 (9th Cir. 2014) (holding that the consultation requirements of 50 C.F.R. § 402.16 apply to “both formal and informal consultation.”); see also Ctr. for Native Ecosystems v. Cables, 509 F.3d 1310, 1324-25 (10th Cir. 2007) (agreeing with principle that reinitiation of informal consultation is required if the factors under 50 C.F.R. § 402.16 are met).

Once consultation is reinitiated, TxDOT is prohibited from engaging in any activity with respect to the agency action that would constitute irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources which may foreclose the formulation or implementation of any reasonable and prudent alternative measures. 16 U.S.C. § 1536(d).

Section 9 of the ESA prohibits any “person” from “taking” threatened and endangered species. 16 U.S.C. § 1538, 50 C.F.R. § 17.31. The definition of “take” in 16 U.S.C.§ 1532(19), states, “[t]he term ‘take’ means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct.” Taking includes the concepts of “harm” and “harassment.” 16 U.S.C. § 1532(19). The term “harm” includes “significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding or sheltering.” 50 C.F.R. § 17.3. The term “harass” means “an intentional or negligent act or omission which creates the likelihood of
injury to wildlife by annoying it to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering.” 50 C.F.R. § 17.3.


FACTUAL BACKGROUND

In a previous 60-day notice of intent to sue sent on May 18, 2016, we detailed several violations of the ESA, including: (1) the failure of TxDOT’s Biological Evaluation to support a “no effect” determination for the Austin blind salamander, Barton Springs salamander, and golden-cheeked warbler (2) the failure of TxDOT to timely initiate and complete consultation with the Service; and (3) its failure to insure that this project is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the aforementioned ESA-listed species. The following month TxDOT changed its prior “no effect” determinations for the Intersections Project—saying it “may affect” but is “not likely to adversely affect” the endangered salamanders and warbler—and initiated consultation and sought the Service’s concurrence with TxDOT’s determinations.

On June 23, 2017 the Service sent TxDOT a letter in which it concurred with TxDOT’s determination that the Intersections Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the golden-cheeked warbler, Austin blind salamander, or the Barton Springs salamander, and that there will be no adverse modification of designated critical habitat for the Austin blind salamander. The letter includes a list of conservation measures that TxDOT planned to incorporate into the Intersections Project to minimize or avoid effects to these endangered species and their habitat.

The Service’s June 23, 2017 letter explains that its concurrence with TxDOT’s determination that the Intersections Project is not likely to adversely affect the three ESA-listed species and will not adversely modify critical habitat of the Austin blind salamander, is based on “TxDOT’s adherence to the conservation measures and [Best Management Practices (“BMPs”)] detailed in their multiple letter submittals and correspondence, including, but not limited to the [Water Pollution Abatement Plan (“WPAP”)], the BMP details, Void Mitigation details, and the revised EPIC and SW3P sheets.” FWS Concurrence Letter. The Service also explained that “[i]n the event the project changes or additional information on listed or proposed species becomes available, the project should be reanalyzed for effects not previously considered.”

The Intersections Project is located in one of the most environmentally sensitive and significant areas in the State of Texas: the recharge zone of the highly vulnerable underground waters of the Barton Springs segment of the Edwards Aquifer, upon which the endangered Barton Springs and Austin blind salamanders depend. The Edwards Aquifer is a karst aquifer characterized by open chambers, such as caves, fractures, and other cavities that were formed either directly or indirectly by the dissolution and fracturing of subsurface rock formations. The endangered salamanders use interstitial spaces (empty voids between rocks) within the spring or
streambed substrate, which provide foraging habitat and protection from predators and drought conditions.

Both salamander species rely on clean, well oxygenated water with substrates that are free of sediment. Changes in water quality and flow patterns can render aquatic habitat unsuitable for the salamanders. Contaminants can impact both the salamanders and their invertebrate prey base. Dye studies conducted by the City of Austin show there are channels of rapid subsurface flows from the Intersections Project area to Blowing Sink Cave and on to Barton Springs, providing an avenue for contaminants to reach these salamander habitats. Barton Springs salamanders are known to live in the aquifer within Blowing Sink Cave, approximately one mile east of the Intersections Project (specifically, at MoPac’s intersection with Slaughter Lane).

In January 2019 Save Our Springs Alliance became aware that TxDOT had encountered a large cave at the MoPac and LaCrosse intersection during construction of the Intersections Project. On January 26, 2019, Mr. Bill Bunch, Executive Director of SOS, visited the site and took photos of the cave (attached as Appendix A), which reveal TxDOT’s failure to adhere to conservation measures in its WPAP and Void Mitigation details. For example, TxDOT failed to cover the cave and failed to place erosion control logs 10 feet from the nearest edge. See Void Mitigation Details (attached as Appendix B). The conservation measures require voids to be covered to prevent contamination and changes in ambient conditions. Id. Based on the appearance of the closeness of the orange fencing to the cave in the photos at Appendix A, it also appears that TxDOT may not be complying with the void discovery protocol that there should be no activity within a 50-foot radius of the void.\(^2\) Id.

An examination of Texas Commission on Environmental Quality’s ("TCEQ") permit database reveals that TxDOT reported encounters with at least 21 karst features on the Intersections Project to TCEQ (attached as Appendix C). TxDOT also sent an email to a public listserv on February 8, 2019 describing encounters with karst features during construction of the Intersections Project, and including photos of a large cave (attached as Appendix D).

TxDOT acknowledged in its Environmental Assessment that the highest risk for negative groundwater impacts from the Intersections Project is the encounter of underground voids during roadway excavation. Final EA at 29. When these voids are encountered, the water quality can be degraded through introduction of silt, fuels, lubricants, and other pollutants to the subsurface, and groundwater flow may be disrupted. TxDOT acknowledges in the EA that habitat for a federally-listed species may be encountered within a discovered void and that if this happens there may be an effect on those species. Id. In its EA, TxDOT describes its plan to minimize and mitigate the impacts of void encounters “through project-wide awareness and education about

\(^2\) Emails received by Save Our Springs Alliance on February 27, 2019, in response to a public records request to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ"), also reveal that TxDOT requested permission from TCEQ to reduce the buffer radius from 50-feet to 10-feet for at least some of the voids encountered, despite the 50-foot buffer being among the conservation measures planned by TxDOT and considered by the Service in the ESA consultation.
the need to report void discoveries and implement protection measures to protect voids by covering them to prevent sediment introduction and desiccation.” *Id.*

The Service also previously expressed concerns about the potential impact of void encounters to the Austin blind and Barton Springs salamanders on the Intersections Project because “once a void is uncovered it may be too late to prevent adverse effects from occurring.” September 7, 2016 email from the Service to TxDOT. The Service further explained that “[s]ince the project is located in the recharge zone, the potential is high that any new feature found could have a water quality connection to the aquifer adversely affecting the salamanders.” *Id.*

In addition to conservation measures mentioned above, one of TxDOT’s “Void Mitigation details” sheets includes the protocol to contact the Service if a void is encountered. Appendix B. To the best of our knowledge, TxDOT failed to notify the Service about any of the voids encountered to date on the Intersections Project. The Center submitted a FOIA request to the Service on February 7, 2019, requesting records regarding void encounters on the Intersections Project. The Service replied on February 23, 2019 that they did not find any records responsive to the request (attached as Appendix E).

**VIOLATIONS OF THE ESA**

Multiple events triggering the requirement to reinitiate consultation have occurred since the Service concurred with TxDOT’s “not likely to adversely affect” determination on impacts to the Austin Blind and Barton Springs salamanders from the Intersections Project. These include new information on the frequency of encounters of karst features or voids, and TxDOT’s failure to fully comply with the conservation measures and BMPs that led to TxDOT’s ESA determination and the Service’s concurrence. Yet TxDOT failed to timely reinitiate and complete reinitiated consultation regarding the continued implementation and impacts of the Intersections Project on the Austin blind and Barton Springs salamanders, in violation of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. §§ 1536(a)(2), 1536(b)(1)(A); 50 C.F.R. §§ 402.14, 402.16. Moreover, because the regulations mandate that the reinitiation of consultation “is required and shall be requested by the [action] agency or by the Service,” if the factors in 50 C.F.R. § 402.16 are met, the Service is also in violation of the ESA for failing to request reinitiation of consultation.

3 “Since conservation measures are part of the proposed action, their implementation is required under the terms of the consultation.” ESA Handbook at 4-19.

4 *See Forest Guardians v. Johanns*, 450 F.3d 455, 465-66 (9th Cir. 2006) (finding the Forest Service in violation of the ESA for failure to re-initiate informal consultation where the agency “regularly failed to meet the monitoring requirements on which the ‘not likely to adversely affect’ determination for those species was premised.”); *Sierra Club v. Marsh*, 816 F.2d 1376, 1388 (9th Cir. 1987) (The court found the agency’s failure to reinitiate consultation violated 50 C.F.R. § 402.16 because the agency failed to secure replacement habitat, a conservation measure deemed “necessary to minimize the project’s effects” in the initial consultation. The court found that the failure of the agency to secure the land constituted new information that affected the listed species “in a manner or to an extent not previously considered” in the initial consultation.**
By allowing and authorizing the Intersections Project to proceed, prior to the reinitiation and completion of reinitiated consultation with the Service, TxDOT is failing to protect the Austin blind and Barton Springs salamanders from jeopardy, in violation of Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2); see Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Bureau of Land Management, 698 F.3d 1101 (9th Cir. 2012) (“[W]here an action agency does not reinitiate consultation with the Service despite the failure of promised conservation measures, the [concurrence] for the proposed action becomes invalid.”). For this same reason, TxDOT is also violating Section 7(d) of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. § 1536(d).

TxDOT is not authorized to “take” any ESA-listed species on the Intersections Project. New information regarding the frequency of karst feature encounters and TxDOT’s failure to adhere to its conservation measures make it likely that the Intersections Project will cause or result in an unauthorized take of one or more listed species. TxDOT is thus in ongoing violation of Section 9 of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. § 1538(a)(1); 16 U.S.C. § 1536(d); 50 C.F.R. § 17.31.

CONCLUSION

For the above stated reasons, TxDOT and the Service have violated and remain in ongoing violation of Section 7 of the ESA, and TxDOT has violated and remains in ongoing violation of Section 9 of the ESA. If TxDOT and the Service do not act within 60 days to correct their violations of the ESA, the Center for Biological Diversity and Save Our Springs Alliance will file suit for declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as attorney fees and costs. 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g). Alternatively, the Center and SOS may seek to amend their current lawsuit filed with the U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas, titled Center for Biological Diversity v. Texas Department of Transportation, and assigned Case No. 1:16-cv-00876-LY. If you have any questions, wish to meet to discuss this matter, or feel this notice is in error, please contact Jennifer Loda (jloda@biologicaldiversity.org, 510-844-7100 x336).

Sincerely,

Jennifer L. Loda
Reptile and Amphibian Staff Attorney
Center for Biological Diversity
1212 Broadway, Ste 800
Oakland, CA 94612
jloda@biologicaldiversity.org
(510) 844-7100, ext. 336

Collette L. Adkins
Senior Attorney
Center for Biological Diversity
Bill Bunch  
Executive Director  
Save Our Springs Alliance  
4701 Westgate Blvd.  
Bldg. D, Ste. 401  
Austin, Texas 78745  
bill@sosalliance.org  
(512) 477-2320, ext. 302

Kelly Davis  
Staff Attorney  
Save Our Springs Alliance  
4701 Westgate Blvd.  
Bldg. D, Ste. 401  
Austin, Texas 78745  
kelly@sosalliance.org  
(512) 477-2320 ext. 306

cc:

Amy Lueders, Regional Director  
Southwest Regional Office  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
500 Gold Avenue SW  
Albuquerque, NM 87102  
RDLueders@fws.gov

Mike Heiligenstein, Executive Director  
Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority  
3300 N IH-35, Suite 300  
Austin, TX 78705  
mstein@ctrma.org
APPENDIX A

Photos taken by Bill Bunch of cave at MoPac Intersections median, just north of LaCrosse Avenue on January 26, 2019
Appendix B

VOID DEFINITIONS
- VOID GREATER THAN SIX INCHES ACROSS IN ANY DIRECTION
- VOID IS GREATER THAN ONE SQUARE FOOT ALONG ANY PLANE
- VOID BLOWS AIR
- VOID CONTINUALLY RECEIVES WATER DURING A RAIN EVENT
- VOID HAS WATER FLOWING THROUGH OR OUT OF IT

GENERAL NOTES:
1. DOWN TIME ASSOCIATED WITH VOID MITIGATION MEASURES WILL BE NON-COMPENSABLE. TIME WILL BE SUSPENDED IF ITEM OF WORK IS ON CRITICAL PATH PER THE LATEST SCHEDULE UPDATE.
2. CONCRETE USED FOR VOID MITIGATION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITEM 420 CLASS A CONC (MISC). EXCEPT FOR Voids DISCOVERED DURING DRILL SHAFT CONSTRUCTION, QUANTITIES UNDER 4 CY MAY BE HAND MIXED ON SITE USING 5,000 PSI RATED BAG MIX CONCRETE.
3. 3" X 5" ROCK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITEM 506.
4. FILTER FABRIC SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITEM 506.
5. STEEL CASING, USED FOR DRILL SHAFT CONSTRUCTION, SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITEM 418. CASING LEFT IN PLACE WILL BE PAID FOR BY FORCE ACCOUNT AND WILL INCLUDE MATERIALS, LABOR AND EQUIPMENT.

VOID MITIGATION AND PROTECTION MEASURE
REFER TO VOID MITIGATION DETAILS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. VOID MITIGATION DETAILS ARE TO BE APPROVED BY GEOLOGIST AND THE TCEQ PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.
1. IN THE EVENT THAT SIGNIFICANT SUBSURFACE Voids ARE ENCOUNTERED, WORK AT THAT LOCATION WILL BE HALTED IMMEDIATELY AND THE FEATURE WILL BE INSPECTED PROMPTLY BY A QUALIFIED GEOLOGIST.
2. THE QUALIFIED GEOLOGIST WILL INSPECT ALL Voids TO DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE VOID MITIGATION PLAN.
3. IN CASES OF SMALL (LESS THAN ONE CUBIC FOOT), DRY Voids WITH NO BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY, THE VOID SHOULD BE COVERED BY EITHER (1) FILTER FABRIC WITH A THREE FOOT OVERLAP OR (2) PLYWOOD PLANKING WEIGHTED IN PLACE WITH ROCK OR BLOCK WEIGHING NO LESS THAN FIVE POUNDS IN ORDER TO PREVENT BACKFILL MATERIAL FROM ENTERING THE VOID.
4. LARGER Voids SHOULD BE HAND FILLED WITH ROCK [3" TO 5"], COVERED WITH FILTER FABRIC COVERED WITH CLASS A (CONC.) (18" MINIMUM THICKNESS), THEN COVERED WITH BACKFILL.
5. IN CASES OF Voids WITH WATER FLOW, FLOW SHOULD BE MAINTAINED TO THE GREATEST EXTENT PRACTICAL. THE WALLS SHOULD BE STABILIZED IF NEEDED WITH PLANKS OR SANDBAGS. CONCRETE WOULD BE POURED OVER THE PIPE.

void discovery protocol
IF A VOID IS DISCOVERED DURING GRADEING, TRENCHING, TREE REMOVAL, DRILL SHAFT CONSTRUCTION, OR ANY OTHER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY, THE FOLLOWING PROTOCOL WILL BE FOLLOWED:
1. ALL ACTIVITY WITHIN A 50-FOOT RADIUS OF THE VOID WOULD IMMEDIATELY STOP.
2. COVER THE VOID TO PREVENT CONTAMINATION AND CHANGES IN AMBIENT CONDITIONS (TARPS AND PLYWOOD, OR SIMILAR MATERIALS ARE APPROPRIATE AS AVAILABLE). BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL LOG (BEC) SHOULD WRAP THE SURFACE PERIMETER OF THE VOID.
3. TxDOT SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (TCEQ) REGIONAL OFFICE AND BARTON SPRINGS EDWARDS AQUIFER CONSERVATION DISTRICT (BSEACD) PERSONNEL. BSEACD CONTACT: (512) 282-8441.
4. TxDOT WILL PROVIDE FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE VOID BY A QUALIFIED GEOLOGIST LICENSED BY THE TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGISTS OR BY A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER WHO QUALIFIES TO PRACTICE GEOSCIENCE ACCORDING TO THE TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGISTS.
5. WORK SHOULD CEASE IN THE AREA UNTIL ASSESSMENT OF THE VOID CAN BE COMPLETED.
6. TEMPORARY PROTECTIONS SHOULD REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL FINAL MITIGATION AND PROTECTION MEASURES ARE APPROVED BY TCEQ AND IN PLACE. A BERM WILL BE MAINTAINED TO PREVENT ANY CONSTRUCTION RUNOFF FROM ENTERING ANY PART OF THE FEATURE WHICH MAY REMAIN.
7. ALSO, BLOCK TRAFFIC FROM DRIVING NEAR THE VOID AND PREVENT CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FROM OPERATING IN THE VICINITY OF THE VOID (TYPICALLY NO ACTIVITY SHOULD OCCUR WITHIN 50 FEET OF THE VOID).

IF A VOID IS ENCOUNTERED CONTACT TCEQ AND U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE.
FOR TCEQ, Voids MAY BE REPORTED BY EMAIL TO EAPPVOID@TCEQ.TEXAS.GOV THE E-MAIL MUST INCLUDE:
- THE NAME OF THE PROJECT
- THE EDWARDS AQUIFER PROTECTION PLAN ID NUMBER FOUND ON THE APPROVAL LETTER.
- THE COUNTY THE PROJECT IS LOCATED IN
- THE NUMBER OF FEATURES DISCOVERED
- THE DATE EACH FEATURE WAS DISCOVERED
- THE CONTACT INFORMATION FOR THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VOID MITIGATION PLAN

FOR U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE, Voids MAY BE REPORTED BY PHONE AT 512-490-0057.
Attachment F

EPIC Sheet and Void Mitigation Details
I. STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION–CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 402

1. CITY OF AUSTIN

2. No action required

3. Required action

4. Prevent stormwater pollution by controlling erosion and sedimentation in accordance with TMDLs.

5. No action required

6. Required action

7. No action required

8. Required action

IV. VEGETATION RESOURCES

IV. VEGETATION RESOURCES

Prevent native vegetation to the extent possible. Control vegetation on construction sites by removing vegetation 50 ft from the public access and 50 ft from the property line. Use appropriate techniques for suppressing vegetation in disturbed areas.

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required

No action required
Appendix I
Void Mitigation Guide (In DRAFT, ENV working with TCEQ to finalize)

1. Include the following plan sheets and pay items.
   1. Void Mitigation sheets in CSJ 3136-01-015, Letting Date ??/??
   2. 0506 6035 SANDBAGS FOR EROSION CONTROL
   3. 0506-6040 BIODEG EROSN CONT LOGS (INSL) (8")
   4. 0506-6043 BIODEG EROSN CONT LOGS (REMOVE)
   5. 0420 6002 CL A CONC (MISC)
   6. 0481 6023 PIPE (PVC) (SCH 80) (6 IN)

2. Add following to General notes for Item 100, Item 7, and Item 416
   1. Item 100 Prep ROW
      Clear all trees required per plans as early as possible. Once clearing begins, perform the work as a continuous operation until complete.
      Strip and stockpile the topsoil in each phase in a continuous operation. Provide TxDOT a 120 hour notice prior to completion of the work for each phase. Delay the start of all excavation for 48 hours upon completion of topsoil removal. TxDOT will provide approval to proceed with excavation after inspection of the stripped area. This delay is non-compensable.
   2. Item 7 – Void Mitigation
      Using explosives is not allowed.
      The project area is a known karst area. Fractured material, boulders, underground voids, ground water, unstable material, and drastically varying strata can be expected. The Contractor shall work with TxDOT and TxDOT’s partners to allow access and on site monitoring of excavation.
      All voids that require action shall be temporarily covered with a plastic tarp (overlap edge of void minimum of 3 ft.) or plywood (overlap edge of void minimum of 1 ft.). Erosion control logs shall be placed around the feature at an offset of 10’ from the nearest edge. Work within the logs shall be suspended. The logs will be paid using the appropriate bid item or silt fence. The tarp and plywood are subsidiary.
      A dry void that is less than 1 CF in volume or less than 6 in. in all directions will not require action. TxDOT shall be notified immediately via email and phone when a void is found that requires action. TxDOT will determine within 24 hours if a standard response can be implemented. If a standard response does not apply, TxDOT will respond within 6 business days from time of email notification to provide guidance to the Contractor.
      If a single void delay is greater than 5 charge days and impacts the critical path per the latest schedule, time will be suspended starting on the 6th charge day until the response has been provided. Overhead or barricades will not be paid during this delay. If a single void delay is greater than 20 charge days and impacts the critical path, barricades and overhead in accordance with Item 4.6.2 will be paid for the charge days beyond the initial 20 days. If the accumulation of all suspended days is greater than 40 charge days and impacted the critical path, barricades and overhead in accordance with Item 4.6.2 will be paid for the charge days beyond the 40 days.
      All delays caused by a void and the duration for implementation of a response are non-compensable for labor, equipment, standby, mobilizations, and cost escalations.
      Contractor shall supply a camera and ability to allow visual investigation of voids during all types of excavation and drill shaft installation.
      Concrete will be paid using Class A Conc (Misc). Concrete will be unreinforced. Quantities under 4 CY may be hand mixed on site using 5000psi rated bag mix
concrete. Aggregate or other backfill will be paid by overrun of the existing embankment item. Filter fabric over the aggregate is subsidiary. Sandbags shall be paid using Sandbags for Erosion Control. The sandbags shall be polypropylene and filled with pea gravel. Connector pipe shall be paid using Pipe (PVC) (SCH 80) (6 IN).

3. Item 416 Drilled Shafts
   Refer to Item 7 for void notes and mitigation process.
   Submit installation plan for review no later than 2 months before construction.
   Contractor shall supply a camera and ability to allow visual investigation of voids.
   During non-work hours open holes shall be covered and surrounded by erosion control logs at an offset of 10' from the edge of the opening. This work is subsidiary.
   Concrete used to fill the voids will be paid using Class A Conc (Misc) item but will use the Class of concrete as required by the specification. Concrete for payment will be measured as the additional concrete beyond the amount required to place a clean shaft plus 10 percent waste.
   The use of drilling fluids, underwater placement, or slurry method will not be allowed if a void is exposed during drilling. The contractor shall use appropriate industry approved methods to provide a product in compliance with the specifications. Additional time or compensation will not be allowed for use of alternate methods or casing installation.
   If a large void requires the permanent placement of casing, casing shall be in accordance with Item 416. Casing that remains will be paid by invoice from supplier with mark up in accordance with material for Item 9.7. Labor, equipment, additional time, etc. will not be compensable. If the casing installation impacts the critical path per the latest schedule, time will be suspended beginning when direction is given to leave the casing until the casing is installed. Delays will be compensated in accordance with Item 7.
   Core holes are required for all drill shafts.
VOID MITIGATION DETAILS
ROADWAY/SURFACE
GRADING OPERATIONS

MEDIUM (DRY VOID)
(<4" in any direction)
(1 CF < 64 CF)

LARGE (DRY VOID)
(>4" in 10' any direction)
(64 CF < 1000 CF)

NOTES:
1. REFER TO GENERAL NOTES FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.
**Void Mitigation Details**

**Storm Drain Trenching Operations**

**Small/Medium (Dry Void)**

- Void is either larger than 64 CF, or has a diameter greater than 64 CF.
- Void intersects the plane of the trench floor and is less than four (4) ft deep.

**Large (Dry Void)**

- Void is either larger than 64 CF, or has a diameter greater than 64 CF.
- Void intersects the plane of the trench floor.

**Small/Medium (Wet Void)**

- Void is either larger than 64 CF, or has a diameter greater than 64 CF.
- Void intersects the plane of the trench floor and is less than four (4) ft deep.

**Large (Wet Void)**

- Void is either larger than 64 CF, or has a diameter greater than 64 CF.
- Void intersects the plane of the trench floor.

---

**Notes**

1. Refer to General Notes for additional information.
VOID MITIGATION DETAILS

DRILL SHAFT OPERATIONS

SMALL/MEDIUM (DRY VOID)
(≤4' IN ANY DIRECTION)

Concrete for the void shall be placed continuously with the shaft.
Where voids are encountered, drill shaft lengths not need to be increased, approval from the engineer if needed. (Placing is subject to complete construction of the drilled shaft.)

LARGE (DRY VOID)
(>4' (10' IN ANY DIRECTION))

Where voids are encountered, drill shaft lengths not need to be increased, approval from the engineer if needed. (Placing is subject to complete construction of the drilled shaft.)

NOTES:
1. Steel casing will be used for drill shaft construction. Tie into existing condition, and driven 20 feet into a minimum amount of concrete to enter the void.
2. Steel casing to remain in place shall be in accordance with item 4.
3. Steel casing should extend a minimum of five feet from the edge of the void.
4. As part of the drill shaft installation plan, contractor shall provide design and methods for anchoring the casing.
5. Refer to general notes for additional information.
Central Registry

The Customer Name displayed may be different than the Customer Name associated to the Additional IDs related to the customer. This name may be different due to ownership changes, legal name changes, or other administrative changes.

Detail of: Edwards Aquifer Permit 11000467
For: MOPAC INTERSECTIONS (RN102759545)
MOPAC FROM SLAUGHTER LN TO LA CROSSE AVE

Permit Status: ACTIVE

 Held by: Texas Department of Transportation (CN600803456) View 'Issued To'

History

OWNER OPERATOR Since 02/15/1993 View Compliance History
Mailing Address: 9725 S IH 35 AUSTIN, TX 78744-7930

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11000467</td>
<td>EDWARDS AQUIFER</td>
<td>12/01/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>PERMIT</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>02/28/2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracking No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23228104</td>
<td>Notice of Construction Date</td>
<td>01/12/2018</td>
<td>01/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23969016</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-025 SC</td>
<td>01/25/2019</td>
<td>01/31/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23969344</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-028 SC</td>
<td>01/25/2019</td>
<td>01/31/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23918642</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-026 SC</td>
<td>01/15/2019</td>
<td>01/24/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23879604</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-030</td>
<td>12/13/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23622517</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-019A SC</td>
<td>12/10/2018</td>
<td>12/14/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23585171</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>CAVE PF-027 A&amp;B</td>
<td>11/21/2018</td>
<td>11/29/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23600068</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-015 ZONE</td>
<td>11/12/2018</td>
<td>12/06/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23440418</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-023 SC</td>
<td>10/25/2018</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23367726</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>SC PF-021</td>
<td>10/10/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23312994</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-020</td>
<td>09/26/2018</td>
<td>09/27/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23312811</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-018</td>
<td>09/20/2018</td>
<td>09/27/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23262205</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-016 &amp; 016A</td>
<td>09/05/2018</td>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23216396</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-014</td>
<td>08/15/2018</td>
<td>08/15/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23183716</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-012</td>
<td>07/27/2018</td>
<td>08/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23184371</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-013</td>
<td>07/27/2018</td>
<td>08/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23038512</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-011 SC</td>
<td>06/21/2018</td>
<td>06/25/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22990787</td>
<td>APPLICATION RECEIVED</td>
<td>PF-006 SC</td>
<td>06/08/2018</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking No.</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21196860</td>
<td>Project Area</td>
<td>110.89 ACRES</td>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21196861</td>
<td>Watershed</td>
<td>SLAUGHTER CREEK</td>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21267624</td>
<td>Watershed</td>
<td>WILLIAMSON CREEK</td>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21407486</td>
<td>Permanent BMP Name</td>
<td>PFC</td>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21407488</td>
<td>Permanent BMP Name</td>
<td>VFS</td>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21407490</td>
<td>Permanent BMP Name</td>
<td>HMT</td>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21407482</td>
<td>Feature Name</td>
<td>MP–001</td>
<td>12/02/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23969049</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-025 SC</td>
<td>01/25/2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23879614</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-030 SC</td>
<td>12/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23969426</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-028 SC</td>
<td>11/13/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23585224</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-027 A&amp;B</td>
<td>11/06/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23918655</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-026</td>
<td>11/06/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23440426</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-023 SC</td>
<td>10/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23367750</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-021</td>
<td>09/27/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23331002</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-020</td>
<td>09/26/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23312824</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-018</td>
<td>09/20/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23622557</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-019 SC</td>
<td>09/17/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23262261</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-016&amp;A</td>
<td>08/23/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23600075</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-015</td>
<td>08/16/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23216442</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-014</td>
<td>08/02/2018</td>
<td>08/15/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23184465</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-013</td>
<td>07/17/2018</td>
<td>08/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23184006</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-012</td>
<td>07/13/2018</td>
<td>08/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23038692</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-011</td>
<td>06/12/2018</td>
<td>06/25/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22958453</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-005</td>
<td>05/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22987901</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-007</td>
<td>05/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22994126</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-006</td>
<td>05/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22899958</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-001 ZONE</td>
<td>03/29/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22720192</td>
<td>Encountered Feature Name</td>
<td>PF-002</td>
<td>03/26/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In NOVATIVE INTERSECTION UPDATE

Exciting news! The project team continues to reach milestones on the MoPac Intersections project. The diverging diamond intersection (DDI) at Slaughter Lane opened in October and you’ve probably noticed traffic flow has greatly improved. Crews are also working on the Slaughter Lane ramps and overhead sign bridges at the DDI to install permanent signage.
Construction is moving south toward La Crosse Avenue. Sound walls are being built on the east and west side of MoPac between Slaughter Creek and La Crosse Avenue. Retaining walls are being erected in various locations, and excavation activities continue to prepare for bridge construction, which is anticipated to begin this spring. The project remains on time for a completion date of early 2021.

**DID YOU KNOW?**
Throughout the construction process crews have discovered some interesting features in the area, which are common in the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. Examples of these karst features include underground caves, sink holes, fractures and voids. The end result can be intriguing and we wanted to share some photos with you.
While these voids can be fascinating and tempting to explore, we ask that the public steer clear for their own safety. Our geoscientists are currently mapping the features and determining the next course of action. TxDOT is also working with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the City of Austin and the Barton Springs Edwards Aquifer Conservation District to evaluate and put mitigation plans together, so that we can continue building the MoPac mainlanes under La Crosse Avenue, while protecting the aquifer.

Regular updates are shared via email and Twitter. To sign up, please send an email to MoPacIntersections@txdot.gov with "updates" in the subject line, or follow us on Twitter @TxDOTAustin, search #MoPacIntersections.

Sincerely,

MoPac Intersections Project Team
(512) 693-7425
MoPacIntersections@txdot.gov
Project Details
This improvement project includes extending the MoPac mainlanes underneath Slaughter Lane and La Crosse Avenue (two lanes in each direction), making intersection improvements at Slaughter Lane and La Crosse Avenue, and improving pedestrian and bicycle accommodations, including adding a 10-foot shared-use path on the west side of MoPac from Slaughter Lane to La Crosse Avenue.
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Ms. Ann Brown  
Center for Biological Diversity  
P.O. Box 11374  
Portland, Oregon 97211-0374

Dear Ms. Brown:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated February 7, 2019, in which you sought the following:

“From January 1, 2018 to the date FWS conducts this search, the records mentioning or including voids encountered on the Texas Department of Transportation’s MoPac Intersections Project (subject of Consultation #02ETAU00-2016-I-0445), prioritizing:

a. Records of communications;
b. Notifications of void encounters;
c. Records including information about post-encounter surveys for endangered species and the mitigation measures used and monitoring of those measures;
d. Photos of voids and mitigation measures; and
e. Void mitigation plans.”

Your request was assigned tracking number FWS-2019-00396 and forwarded to the Austin Ecological Services Field Office for processing. Staff carefully searched files and found no records responsive to your request.

You may appeal the adequacy of our search to the FOIA Appeals Officer. If you choose to appeal, the FOIA/Privacy Act Appeals Officer must receive your FOIA appeal no later than 90 workdays from the date of this letter. Appeals arriving or delivered after 5 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, will be deemed received on the next workday. Your appeal must be made in writing. You may submit your appeal and accompanying materials to the FOIA/Privacy Act Appeals Officer by mail, courier service, fax, or email. All communications concerning
your appeal should be clearly marked with the words: "FREEDOM OF INFORMATION APPEAL." You must include an explanation of why you believe the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s (Service) response is in error. You must also include with your appeal copies of all correspondence between you and the Service concerning your FOIA request, including your original FOIA request and the Service’s response. Failure to include with your appeal all correspondence between you and the Service will result in the Department's rejection of your appeal, unless the FOIA/Privacy Act Appeals Officer determines (in the FOIA/Privacy Act Appeals Officer’s sole discretion) that good cause exists to accept the defective appeal. Please include your name and daytime telephone number (or the name and telephone number of an appropriate contact), email address and fax number (if available) in case the FOIA/Privacy Act Appeals Officer needs additional information or clarification of your appeal.

DOI FOIA/Privacy Act Appeals Office
Department of the Interior, Office of the Solicitor
1849 C Street, N.W.
MS-6556 MIB
Washington, D.C. 20240
Telephone: 202-208-5339 / Fax: 202-208-6677
Email: FOIA.Appeals@sol.doi.gov

Also, please note the 2007 FOIA amendments created the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to offer mediation services to resolve disputes between FOIA requesters and Federal agencies as a non-exclusive alternative to litigation. Using OGIS services does not affect your right to pursue litigation and does not affect the timing of filing an appeal with the Department’s FOIA & Privacy Act Appeals Officer. You may contact OGIS in any of the following ways:

Office of Government Information Services
National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road - OGIS
College Park, MD 20740-6001
E-mail: ogis@nara.gov, Web: https://ogis.archives.gov

You also may seek dispute resolution services from our FOIA Public Liaison, Carrie Hyde-Michaels at 703-358-2521 or via email at carrie_hyde-michaels@fws.gov.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010)). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

This completes the Southwest Region’s response. The fees incurred in responding to your request have been waived in accordance with 43 C.F.R. §2.37. If you have any questions or
concerns regarding this request, please contact Government Information Specialist, David Tischer, at 505-248-6658 or by email at fw2foia@fws.gov.

Sincerely,

Melanie Ruiz
Region 2 FOIA Coordinator