

1	PRESCOTT LEGAL DEPARTMENT Post Office Box 2059 Prescott, Arizona 86302-2059	JAN 2 9 2010
2	(928) 777-1211	
3	Az. State Bar No.: 019524	
4	E-Mail: thomas.lloyd@prescott-az.gov Attorney for City of Prescott	
5	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI	
. 7	THE CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, a not-for-profit corporation;) P1300CV20090596
9	Plaintiff,	MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE
10	-vs-) (Special Action)
11	CITY OF PRESCOTT, a municipal Corporation of)	Division 5
12	the State of Arizona; JOHN DOES 1-10,	(Assigned to the Hon. William T. Kiger)
13	Defendants.)	
14	The Defendant City of Prescott herein, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby moves	
15	the Court for a continuance of any further proceedings on Center for Biological Diversity's Motion	
16	for Summary Judgment, the City's cross motion, and any replies to permit the City an adequate	
17	opportunity to discuss settlements in a number of other	er pending cases, which may affect the City's
18	position and the result in this public records case. The City requests a three week continuance of	
19	the due dates for the City's response, cross-motion, and any replies in this matter.	
20	The City requests this continuance to allow for the discussion and possible settlement of a	
21	number of other pending matters, which may affect the result in this case and the need for the court	
22	to decide this matter. The City is involved in a number of other cases with other parties who	
23	include the Salt River Project Ag. Improvement District, Salt River Project Water Users Assn.,	
24	Arizona Dept of Water Resources Director, Herb Guenther, citizens Gary Beverly, Tom Atkins and	
25		

1	Anthony Kryzsik. These cases include issues of the constitutionality of the statute that allows			
2	Prescott to import water into the Prescott Active Management Area, judicial review of ADEQ's			
3	decision to grant the City of Prescott's application to import a quantity of water into the Prescott			
4	AMA and other issues related to the City's Big Chino Water Ranch (BCWR). Finally, two			
5	separate public records and public records/declaratory judgment actions related to the BCWR are			
6	pending between the City, Prescott Valley and the Salt River Project. The City is presently making			
7	efforts and is engaged in settlement discussions with the Salt River Project to resolve these matters.			
8	The results of any settlement in the above cases, and the separate public records lawsuit			
9	between the City and the Salt River Project, may affect the resolution of the matter presently			
0	before this court and may conserve judicial resources and obviate the need for this court to decide			
1	the present matter. To allow the other settlement discussions to continue, the City requests a three			
2	week continuance of all due dates for summary judgment matters in this case.			
3	DATED this 2010 day of January, 2010.			
4	CITY OF PRESCOTT LEGAL DEPARTMENT			
5	By: Monax A. Mall			
6	Thomas A. Lloyd Chief Assistant City Attorney			
7	COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered this			
8	William T. Kiger			
9	Judge of the Yavapai County Superior Court Yavapai County Courthouse, Division 5			
0	Prescott, AZ			
1	COPY of the foregoing mailed this Algorithms day of January, 2010, to:			
2	Howard Shanker, Esquire			
3	SHANKER LAW FIRM, PLC			
	700 East Baseline Road, Bldg. B			
1	Tempe, AZ 85283 By: D. Shuoton			

	PRESCOTT LEGAL DEPARTMENT Post Office Box 2059		
	Prescott, Arizona 86302-2059 (928) 777-1211		
	By: Thomas A. Lloyd Az. State Bar No.: 019524		
	E-Mail: thomas.lloyd@prescott-az.gov Attorney for City of Prescott		
	5		
(IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA		
•	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYABAL		
8	THE CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, a not-for-profit corporation;) P1300CV20090596	
9		ORDER RE MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	
10	-vs-	(Special Action)	
11	CITY OF PRESCOTT, a municipal Corporation of		
12	the State of Arizona; JOHN DOES 1-10,	Division 5	
13	Defendants.		
14	Pursuant to Defendant City of Prescott's Motion For Continuance, and good cause		
15	appearing,		
16	IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant City o	f Prescott is grantal and it	
17	response to Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment	to March 4 2010	
18	response to Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment to March 4, 2010, with all normal response and reply times as prescribed by Ariz.R.Civ.P.		
19	DONE IN OPEN COURT this day of, 2010.		
20		, 2010.	
21			
22			
23	Honorable William T. Kiger Judge of the Yavapai County Superior Court		
24			
25			
		1	