

HOLLAND & HART LLP  
5441 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE 200  
RENO, NV 89511-2094

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Timothy A. Lukas, Esq.  
(Nevada Bar No. 4678)  
Sarah C. Bordelon, Esq.  
(Nevada Bar No. 14683)  
Erica K. Nannini, Esq.  
(Nevada Bar No. 13922)  
Holland & Hart LLP  
5441 Kietzke Lane, Suite 200  
Reno, NV 89511  
Telephone: (775) 327-3011  
Fax: (775) 786-6179  
tlukas@hollandhart.com  
scbordelon@hollandhart.com  
eknannini@hollandhart.com

Hadassah M. Reimer, Esq.  
(Wyo. Bar No. 6-3825)  
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*  
Holland & Hart LLP  
P.O. Box 68  
Jackson, WY 83001  
Tel: 307-734-4517  
Fax: 307-739-9544  
hmreimer@hollandhart.com

Laura R. Jacobsen, Esq.  
(Nevada Bar No. 13699)  
Ormat Technologies, Inc.  
6140 Plumas Street  
Reno, NV 89519  
Tel: 775-356-9029  
Fax: 775-356-9039  
ljacobsen@ormat.com

*Attorneys for Defendant  
Ormat Nevada Inc.*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

THE FALLON PAIUTE-SHOSHONE TRIBE  
and the CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL  
DIVERSITY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, JAKE  
VIALPANDO in his official capacity as Field  
Manager of the Bureau of Land Management  
Stillwater Field Office, and ORMAT  
NEVADA INC.,

Defendants.

**Case No. 3:21-cv-00512-RCJ-WGC**

**PARTIES' JOINT STIPULATION ON  
SCHEDULING ORDER**

1 Plaintiffs Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Tribe (“FPST”) and the Center for Biological Diversity  
2 (“CBD”), Federal Defendants U.S. Department of the Interior, et al. (“Federal Defendants”), and  
3 Defendant Ormat Nevada, Inc. (“Ormat”) (together, the “Parties”) have conferred and hereby  
4 jointly submit the following stipulation and scheduling proposal for the Court’s consideration.

5 Plaintiffs filed this case on December 15, 2021, alleging that the Bureau of Land  
6 Management’s (“BLM”) approval of the Dixie Meadows Geothermal Utilization Project (the  
7 “Project”) violated the Administrative Procedure Act, National Environmental Policy Act,  
8 Federal Land Policy and Management Act, and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act. ECF  
9 No. 1. Plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary injunction to stop Project construction (ECF No.  
10 13), and this Court granted the motion, but only for a period of 90 days (ECF No. 41). The  
11 Parties’ cross-appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, which affirmed this Court’s  
12 decision. *FPST v. DOI*, Nos. 22-15092, 15093 (9th Cir. Aug. 1, 2022) (slip opinion).

13 On April 7, 2022, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (“FWS”) exercised its emergency  
14 listing authority under the Endangered Species Act (“ESA”) to list the Dixie Valley toad as  
15 endangered for a period of 240 days, triggering ESA Section 7 consultation obligations between  
16 BLM and the FWS for the Project. BLM and the FWS have begun informal consultation and are  
17 working toward completing formal consultation. Federal Defendants lodged the Administrative  
18 Record on April 29, 2022. On July 6, 2022, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint  
19 adding ESA claims under Section 7 regarding the project’s alleged impacts to the Dixie Valley  
20 toad and BLM’s consultation with FWS, and under Section 9 regarding BLM’s and Ormat’s  
21 alleged “take” of Dixie Valley toad during Project construction and operation (together, the  
22 “ESA Claims”). Ormat states that it is currently working collaboratively with BLM and FWS  
23 through the Section 7 consultation process, including discussion and identification of potential  
24 additional mitigation measures. In coordination with BLM and FWS and in an effort to support  
25 the Section 7 consultation process, Ormat has determined that it will temporarily pause  
26 construction of the Phase I power plant either until the FWS issues a Biological Opinion for the  
27 Project thereby concluding the Section 7 consultation process, or until December 31, 2022,  
28 whichever is sooner.

HOLLAND & HART LLP  
5441 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE 200  
RENO, NV 89511-2094

HOLLAND & HART LLP  
5441 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE 200  
RENO, NV 89511-2094

1 In light of these developments, the Parties have agreed as follows:

- 2 1. Ormat will provide notice to the Parties at least 30 days prior to reinitiating  
3 construction of the power plant facilities at the Dixie Meadows site.
- 4 2. Plaintiffs will not file any motion for preliminary injunction or temporary  
5 restraining order regarding the Project unless they receive notice that Ormat  
6 intends to reinitiate construction.
- 7 3. BLM will lodge the Administrative Record for the ESA Claims with the Court  
8 and provide an electronic copy to the Parties by August 5, 2022.
- 9 4. Plaintiffs and Ormat will have 14 days from the lodging of the Administrative  
10 Record on August 5, 2022 to: (1) identify and communicate to Federal  
11 Defendants any issues regarding completeness of the Administrative Record  
12 and/or any requests for the admission of extra-record evidence; and (2) provide  
13 Federal Defendants with copies of any materials they seek to include in the  
14 Administrative Record or admit as extra-record evidence.
- 15 5. Federal Defendants will respond to any issues raised by Plaintiffs or Ormat  
16 regarding the contents of the Administrative Record and the admission of extra  
17 record evidence no later than September 2, 2022. The Parties thereafter will  
18 attempt in good faith to resolve any issues that are raised. Any subsequent  
19 motions regarding the Administrative Record will be due on September 9, 2022.
- 20 6. If Plaintiffs or Ormat file any motions regarding the Administrative Record, the  
21 Parties shall meet and confer for the purposes of agreeing upon a schedule for  
22 resolving those motions and briefing the Parties' cross-motions for summary  
23 judgment. If no motions regarding the Administrative Record are filed, Plaintiffs'  
24 opening summary judgment brief will be due on September 9, 2022, and will be  
25 limited to 60 pages.
- 26 7. If no Administrative Record motions are filed, the Federal Defendants' and  
27 Ormat's combined responses to Plaintiffs' motions for summary judgment and  
28 opening briefs in support of their cross-motions for summary judgment will be

HOLLAND & HART LLP  
5441 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE 200  
RENO, NV 89511-2094

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

due on October 7, 2022. Federal Defendants and Ormat shall each file a brief in support of their cross-motions for summary judgment and responding to Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment. Federal Defendants’ brief and Ormat’s brief shall each be limited to 45 pages.

8. If no Administrative Record motions are filed, Plaintiffs shall file a combined reply in support of their summary judgment motion and in response to Federal Defendants’ and Ormat’s cross-motions for summary judgment, which will be due on October 28, 2022. Plaintiffs’ brief shall be limited to 60 pages.

9. If no Administrative Record motions are filed, Federal Defendants’ and Ormat’s replies in support of their cross-motions for summary judgment will each be due on December 2, 2022, and each will be limited to 45 pages.

10. Plaintiffs do not waive any claims under the ESA or Religious Freedom Restoration Act (“RFRA”) which may not be resolved on summary judgment due to unresolved issues of material fact. Plaintiffs take the position that because the ESA and RFRA each provide their own cause of action, claims under these statutes are not limited in scope by the APA and thus may not be limited to the administrative record compiled by the agency. Federal Defendants’ take the position that ESA Claims are record-review claims governed by both the scope and standard of the APA that do not present any unresolved issues of material fact. Further, Federal Defendants and Ormat take the position that summary judgment and the briefing schedule set forth above can resolve all claims in Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Federal Defendants also take the position that because Plaintiffs’ APA claims challenge a final agency action, those claims in this case should be decided primarily based on the Administrative Record compiled by Federal Defendants. However, should the Court find that the introduction of extra-record evidence is appropriate, the Parties do not waive their right to seek discovery regarding the RFRA and ESA claims.

1 In light of the Parties' commitment to the expedited briefing schedule outlined herein,  
2 and Ormat's intention to suspend construction until either completion of the Section 7  
3 consultation process or the end of the year, the Parties respectfully request expedited review by  
4 the Court, with a decision on the merits before the end of 2022.

5  
6 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of August, 2022.

7  
8 By: Timothy A. Lukas, Esq.  
9 (Nevada Bar No. 4678)  
10 Sarah C. Bordelon, Esq.  
11 (Nevada Bar No. 14683)  
12 Erica K. Nannini, Esq.  
13 (Nevada Bar No. 13922)  
14 Holland & Hart LLP  
15 5441 Kietzke Lane, Suite 200  
16 Reno, NV 89511  
17 Telephone: (775) 327-3011  
18 Fax: (775) 786-6179  
19 [tlukas@hollandhart.com](mailto:tlukas@hollandhart.com)  
20 [scbordelon@hollandhart.com](mailto:scbordelon@hollandhart.com)  
21 [eknannini@hollandhart.com](mailto:eknannini@hollandhart.com)

22 /s/ Hadassah Reimer  
23 Hadassah M. Reimer, Esq.  
24 (Wyo. Bar No. 6-3825)  
25 Holland & Hart LLP  
26 P.O. Box 68  
27 Jackson, WY 83001  
28 Tel: 307-734-4517  
Fax: 307-739-9544  
[hmreimer@hollandhart.com](mailto:hmreimer@hollandhart.com)

Laura R. Jacobsen, Esq.  
(Nevada Bar No. 13699)  
Ormat Technologies, Inc.  
6140 Plumas Street  
Reno, NV 89519  
Tel: 775-356-9029  
Fax: 775-356-9039  
[ljacobsen@ormat.com](mailto:ljacobsen@ormat.com)

*Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor  
Ormat Nevada Inc.*

HOLLAND & HART LLP  
5441 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE 200  
RENO, NV 89511-2094

HOLLAND & HART LLP  
5441 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE 200  
RENO, NV 89511-2094

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Gordon H. De Paoli  
Woodburn and Wedge  
PO Box 2311  
Reno, NV 89505  
Phone: 775-688-3000  
Fax: 775-688-3088  
Email: gdepaoli@woodburnandwedge.com

/s/ Wyatt Golding  
Wyatt Golding  
Ziontz Chestnut  
2101 4th Avenue Suite 1230  
Seattle, WA 98121  
Phone: 206-448-1230  
Email: wgolding@ziontzchestnut.com

/s/ Scott Lake  
Scott Lake  
Center for Biological Diversity  
P. O. Box 6205  
Reno, NV 89513  
Phone 802-299-7495  
Email: slake@biologicaldiversity.org

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Appellees - Fallon  
Paiute-Shoshone Tribe and Center for  
Biological Diversity*

Sara E. Costello  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Environment and Natural Resources Division  
PO Box 7611  
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611  
Phone: 202-305-0484  
Email: sara.costello2@usdoj.gov

/s/ Esosa Rachael Aimufua  
Esosa Rachael Aimufua  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Environment and Natural Resources Division  
Natural Resources Section  
150 M. Street, NE, Third Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
Phone: 202-532-3818  
Email: esosa.aimufua@usdoj.gov

HOLLAND & HART LLP  
5441 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE 200  
RENO, NV 89511-2094

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Kamela A. Caschette  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Environment and Natural Resources Division  
Wildlife and Marine Resources Section  
150 M. Street, NE, Third Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
Phone: 202-305-0340  
Email: kamela.caschette@usdoj.gov

Holly A. Vance  
U.S. Attorney’s Office  
400 S. Virginia Street, Suite 900  
Reno, NV 89501  
Phone: 775-784-5438  
Email: Holly.A.Vance@usdoj.gov

*Attorneys for Defendants/Appellants - U.S.  
Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land  
Management, and Jake Vialpando in his  
official capacity as Field Manager of the  
Bureau of Land Management Stillwater Field  
Office*

**Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that on 1st day of August, 2022, I filed the foregoing using the United States District Court CM/ECF, which caused all counsel of record to be served electronically.

/s/ Hadassah M. Reimer

19264523\_v3

19373910\_v2