Washington Examiner > Letters: April 9, 2007 > Supreme Court's EPA ruling deserves coverage > Re: "Hurricane center sees active 2007 season," April 4 > Let me see if I can get this straight. On April 4, you run a > front-page story on weather forecasters predicting a "very active" > hurricane season, with a "good chance" that one major storm will hit the Atlantic Coast. > Yet one day earlier, your paper declines to even mention the U.S. > Supreme Court ruling that the Bush administration's Environmental > Protection Agency must consider the impact of automobile carbon > dioxide emissions in the context of global warming. > Increased weather volatility is precisely what scientific experts have > been telling us to expect from the global climate change caused by > human-induced greenhouse gas pollution. > Is it part of The Examiner's agenda not to cover perhaps the most

- >
 > William J. Snape III
- > Senior Counsel, Center for Biological Diversity Washington, D.C.

> significant Supreme Court decision of the decade, if not the century?